

LL's Lawer - Stewart Shapiro
Derry George 6-2392
697-5540

820 687 PSM
820687PSM

FOR CPC
USE ONLY

IDENTIFICATION NO.

DATE RECEIVED 1/26/82 DATE CERTIFIED _____

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION
2 LAFAYETTE STREET
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10007

APPLICATION FOR
SELECTION AND ACQUISITION OR LEASE OF SITE
FOR PUBLIC FACILITY

820687

Fee Rec'd

Date Rec'd

INSTRUCTIONS

Send completed application and all supporting documents and correspondence in 20 assembled sets to the Secretary, City Planning Commission, Room 1500, at the above address.

For any further information, contact the Division of Public Facilities, Office of Technical Operations: 566:8477.

NOTE: THIS APPLICATION WILL BE DEEMED PRELIMINARY UNTIL IT IS CERTIFIED AS COMPLETE BY THE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION OR THE DIRECTOR OF CITY PLANNING.

1. APPLICANT

AGENCY NYC Department of Correction

AGENCY'S REP: Name & Title Sharon M. Keilin, Deputy Commissioner

Address 100 Centre St., New York, New York 10013

Tel. No. 374-4430

PROJECT TITLE OR NAME: New Lower Manhattan House
of Detention, including site - Capital Project C-109

PROJECTED FACILITY REQUIREMENTS

2. DESCRIBE FUNCTION/SERVICES TO BE ACCOMMODATED: (brief description) 500-bed correctional facility to accomodate detainees awaiting or on trial in New York County who are presently incarcerated on Rikers Island due to lack of adequate detention space in Manhattan. Average number of Manhattan detainees is 2,000 ; renovated Tombs will provide only 426 spaces in early 1983.

SITE REQUIREMENTS:

NO. OF EMPLOYEES 344 (est.)

TOTAL FLOOR AREA: 250,000 SQ.FT.

LOT AREA 52,215 s.f.

IS THIS A REPLACEMENT FACILITY OR NEW FACILITY

IF REPLACEMENT FACILITY, DESCRIBE PRESENT FACILITY, LOCATION AND REASON FOR REPLACEMENT. IF NEW FACILITY, DESCRIBE NEED. Pursuant to Benjamin v. Malcolm, pending in federal district court, the City submitted a plan October, 1980 to Judge Morris Lasker under which it would cease to operate the House of Detention for Men on Rikers Island as a detention institution within 5 years, replacing its capacity of 1200 beds with spaces on both Rikers Island and/or in Manhattan, the originating county for large numbers of HDM detainees. This facility constitutes the Manhattan portion of intended replacement space. See Attachment A for Plan submitted.

PROPOSED SITE

3. LOCATIONAL AND DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED SITE

BOROUGH Manhattan BLOCK(S) 198 LOT(S)* 1,10,23,24,26

ADDRESS Block bounded by Centre, Baxter, Walker, White Streets

ZONING MAP NO. 12C ZONING DISTRICT C6-4 CPD NO. 1

IMPROVED

UNIMPROVED

LOT DIMENSIONS: Irregular lot: On Centre St., 245' 11 1/2"; Walker St., 191' 8"; Baxter St., 273' 3";
FRONT DEPTH AREA 52,215 s.f.*

DESCRIBE EXISTING IMPROVEMENTS: White St., 221' 3/4". *See s.f. calculation, appended to this page as Exhibit One.

N.B. - existing improvements shown on most available maps were demolished by present owner in late 1981.

A.V. (LAND) \$ 1,600,000 A.V. (TOTALS) & (Site is unimproved.)

PRESENT OWNER: **China Plaza Company ADDRESS %Nespar Corp. (general partner) 250 Park Ave., New York, N.Y. 10017

APPRAISED VALUE: *** Not Available \$ *** (See letter from Dept. of General Services appended to this page as Exhibit Two.)

SALE/LEASE AMOUNT \$ *** Not Applicable LENGTH OF LEASE *** Not Applicable

ACQUIS. DS

PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS: (DESCRIBE: INCLUDE DEMOLITION, CONSTRUCTION, ALTERATIONS (Also attach scope of project if available))

No demolition required. After site preparation, new 500-bed detention facility of approximately 250,000 s.f. will be constructed on piles. See Prototype study at Attachment B. for additional information. Building has not been designed.

TOTAL CONST. COST \$ 63,500,000 (est.)

4. EXISTING CONDITIONS

IS THERE ANY OUTSTANDING BUILDING APPLICATION/PERMIT?

Present owner has filed building application to erect 52 story commercial and residential structure on the site. See Appl. at Attachment C. CHECK WITH DCP PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS DIVISION (566-8474) RE: CONFLICTS WITH OTHER PROJECTS. IN ANY CONFLICT, DESCRIBE:

Not Applicable

IS SITE WITHIN AN URBAN RENEWAL OR OTHER DESIGNATED AREA? No

IF SO, NAME OF AREA: _____

WILL THIS PROJECT REQUIRE IMPROVEMENTS IN THE EXISTING INFRASTRUCTURE, SEWER, WATER, GAS, ETC.? No

IF YES, DESCRIBE: _____

ARE THERE UNUSUAL SITE CHARACTERISTICS - ROCK, TOPOGRAPHY, ETC? YES NO

Site is a filled-in marsh and fresh water pond above bedrock. Fill is coarse to fine sand. Transit easement across north/west corner

5. RELOCATION REQUIRED: YES NO

EXISTING USE: COMMERCIAL INDUSTRIAL RESIDENTIAL OTH

NO. OF TENANTS None

NO. OF EMPLOYEES _____

* If site includes a partial section of any lot, a complete metes and bounds description of the entire site must be submitted.

** If site is not City-owned, a letter from the Division of Real Property certifying that City-owned property suitable for this project is not available.

*** If available

OK
have

6. FUNDING AND BUDGET INFORMATION

CITY 100 % STATE _____ % FEDERAL _____ % OTHER _____ %

(Describe source/program below, i.e. UMTA, CD Title II etc)

City Capital Budget, FY82 and beyond.

CAPITAL BUDGET LINE NO.	PROJECT NO.	\$ PRIOR AUTHORIZATIONS	\$ CURRENT FY YEAR	\$ THREE-YEAR CAPITAL PROGRAM
----------------------------	-------------	----------------------------	-----------------------	----------------------------------

439	C-109	0	\$8,100,000	\$59,680,000
-----	-------	---	-------------	--------------

NB: 3-Year Plan indicates \$40M in State bond funds; Mayor has agreed to use of city funds since Corrections Bond issue failed last year.

7. RELATED ACTIONS REQUIRED

MAPPING ZONING OTHER (Explain) Closing of White St. between Centre-Baxter Sts.

IF OTHER ULURP ACTIONS REQUIRED, HAS APPLICATION BEEN SUBMITTED? YES NO

*CEQR APPLICATION FILED? Filed with this application. YES NO

8. MAPS

ATTACHED AND OUTLINE THE SITE ON THE FOLLOWING:

A. LAND USE MAP (show the following)

See Land Use Map A { 1) FACILITY SERVICE AREA 3) CORE AREA (Limits of optimum location)
2) EXISTING FACILITIES OF THE SAME TYPE 4) OTHER SITES CONSIDERED } See Land Use Map B.

B. ZONING MAP

C. TAX MAP

N.B.- Improvements shown were demolished in Nov.-Dec.1981.

D. SCHEMATIC SITE PLAN SHOWING LOCATION AND SIZE OF BUILDING, ACCESS AND ANTICIPATED TRAFFIC PATTERNS TO AND FROM SITE. See prototype study at

Attachment B. and Project Data

9. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: (Use separate sheet if necessary; Statement (CEQR Application).

- A. CONCISE ANALYSIS OF ALL SITES CONSIDERED, INCLUDING ADVANTAGES & DISADVANTAGES
- B. DESCRIBE FULLY FUNCTION/SERVICES TO BE ACCOMMODATED


- A. See Attachment D.
- B. See Attachment B, Prototype Study.

Benjamin Ward

Type Name of Agency Head

Commissioner

Title


Signature of Agency Head

1/26/82
Date

NOTE: A CEQR application must be filed simultaneously with this application. Forms may be obtained from the Department of City Planning, 2 Lafayette Street, Room 2104, or by calling 566-7326. (Include one copy with this application.)

FOR CPC USE ONLY


CPC ACTION: FAVORABLE UNFAVORABLE DATE _____

30E ACTION: FAVORABLE UNFAVORABLE DATE _____

EXHIBIT ONE: CALCULATION OF GROSS SQUARE FOOTAGE FOR
 PROPERTY BOUNDED BY CENTRE/WHITE/BAXTER/
 WALKER STREETS, MANHATTAN.

WALKER ST (48'-6")

CENTER ST (75')



BAXTER ST. (49'-6")

WHITE ST. (50')

**E. TUCKETT
 & THOMPSON
 ARCHITECTS**

TITLE <u>WHITE STREET</u> <u>SITE PLAN</u>	JOB NO. ?	DWG. NO.
	DATE	
	DRAWN BY	
	SCALE	




ROBERT M. LITKE
COMMISSIONER

CITY OF NEW YORK
DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES

MUNICIPAL BUILDING
17TH FLOOR
NEW YORK, N.Y. 10007

Terrence Moan
Deputy Commissioner

MEMORANDUM: January 20, 1982
TO: Sharon M. Keilin
FROM: Nina DeMartini-Day 
First Assistant Commissioner
SUBJECT: Manhattan Acquisition
Block 198 - Lots 1-10, 23, 24 & 26


This letter asserts that the property inventory of the Division of Real Property does not contain any site in the vicinity of the Manhattan Courts which would be suitable for the use of the Department of Correction.

EXHIBIT TWO

LAND USE MAP A.

EXISTING CORRECTIONAL AND OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE FACILITIES IN SITE/SERVICE AREA


New Borough Facility will serve as detention housing for inmates awaiting trial or on trial in Criminal and Supreme Courts located at 100 Centre St., 111 Centre St. and 60 Centre St.



Base Map courtesy: CPC, Capital Needs and Priorities, 1981

LAND USE MAP B.

CORE AREA & SITES CONSIDERED FOR NEW LOWER
MANHATTAN HOUSE OF DETENTION, C-109



Map courtesy of City Planning Department, Manhattan Office, May 29, 1981.



12c


ZONING MAP

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION
THE CITY OF NEW YORK

8b	8d	9b
12a	12c	13a
12b	12d	13b


INDICATES PROPERTY
REZONED BY AMENDMENT
EFFECTIVE: FEBRUARY 3, 1977

A 1230




NEW

JERSEY



HUDSON

RIVER



12a

ZONING MAP

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION
THE CITY OF NEW YORK

	8b	8d
	12a	12c
	12b	12d



D INDICATES RESTRICTIVE DECLARATION FOR DETAIL REFER TO R.D. SHEET


..... INDICATES PROPERTY REZONED BY AMENDMENT EFFECTIVE: NOVEMBER 17, 1977

SEE PAGE 15


SEE PAGE 15

BROADWAY

WHITE




WALKER




CANAL

CORTLANDT





ALLEY



LAFAYETTE



ST.

SEE VOL 3



CENTRE

ST.



ST.

ST.

BATTERY

SEE PAGE 12

ATTACHMENT D.

ANALYSIS OF ALL SITES CONSIDERED
FOR THIS PROJECT

Site Selection Criteria

The NYC Department of Correction has set forth the site selection criteria listed below in order to combine the functional and practical elements necessary to create a modern correctional system for detainees. The chief criteria are that a site for the New Lower Manhattan House of Detention:

- a) should be adjacent to the courts to minimize costly transportation;
- > b) should not be immediately adjacent to residential uses and therefore, preferably would be in CPB #1;
- c) should minimize the need for demolition and extensive site preparation;
- d) should be convenient to public transportation; and
- e) should be as close as possible to the /soon to be/ existing borough institution, the Manhattan House of Detention, so as to share central support services, connecting corridors to courts, parking, etc. as may be appropriate.

It is necessary to house detainees as near as possible to the courts they must attend. Since the Manhattan courts are located in a high density neighborhood with little City-owned property not already improved with public buildings, the Department has found it especially desirable to plan adjacent facilities totalling 926 beds on adjoining sites in the lower Manhattan area. These are the Manhattan House of Detention ("the Tombs"), 426 beds at 125 White Street and the planned New Lower Manhattan House of Detention on the block just north of the Tombs bounded by White, Baxter, Walker and Centre Streets (500 beds).

In order to accommodate the second facility, any site must afford at least 250,000 square feet of gross buildable area to provide for the required architectural program. Before recommending the so-called "White Street site" the Department, in conjunction with an interagency task force consisting of the Criminal Justice Coordinator's Office, OMB, DGS, City Planning, Board of Correction and Law Department, studied eleven lower Manhattan sites in light of the criteria above and the required size. The advantages and disadvantages of each are detailed below.

Sites Considered

1. White Street/Baxter Street/Walker Street/
Centre Street

This is the site selected for the project. Advantages and disadvantages are as follows.

PRO
Zoning (C6-4) is appropriate for jail.
No demolition required.
Adjacent to courts and existing jail.
Good access to all transportation.
Lot size is adequate for program.

CON
Expect significant community opposition from Chinatown.
Site privately owned, must be acquired.
Private owner has application for building permit pending.

2. State Office Building at 80 Centre Street

PRO
Zoning (C6-4) is appropriate for jail.
Existing building can be rehabilitated for this use.
Adjacent to courts.
Good access to all transportation.
Building not fully utilized.

CON
Owned by State of New York which to date has not agreed to sell.
Adjacent to Chinatown commercial core.
Expect significant opposition from some existing tenants who will fight relocation; state may have plans to use as relocation space for state agencies displaced from World Trade Center.

*Future
CPC Headquarters*

3. "300 Broadway" - Block bounded by
Broadway/Duane/Elk/Reade Streets

PRO

Property is City-owned.
Good access to all transportation.
Large site with no adjacent residential.
Zoning (C6-4) is appropriate for jail.

CON

Earmarked for future City development
as second municipal building.
Present site of municipal parking and
gas station.
Would require bus transportation of
inmates to court.
Demolition of existing structures req'd.

4. "346 Broadway" - Block bounded by
Broadway/Leonard/Lafayette Streets
and Catherine Lane.

PRO

Property is City-owned.
Good access to all transportation.
Site one block from most courts.
Zoning (C6-4) is appropriate for jail.

CON

Existing City building cannot be re-
habilitated; must be demolished.
Annual cost for leased space for relo-
cated City tenants est. @ \$1.7M.
Site is irregular, long and narrow;
difficult to fit intended bldg.

5. Washington Street URA: Sites 1A, 1B
(Hubert/Greenwich/N.Moore/Park Row)

PRO

Property is City-owned.
Zoning (C6-4) is appropriate for jail.
Good access to several transportation
lines.
Site is large and vacant.

CON

Adjacent to new Manhattan Community
College.
Adjacent to residential development,
including lofts & Independence Plaza.
Boro President, OED and HPD are consider-
ing site for commercial development
(relocation of cheese, butter & egg
industry & local trucking company).

6. Washington Street URA: Sites 5A,5B,6
(Reade/Greenwich/Barclay/West Streets)

PRO

Property is City-owned.
Zoning (C6-4) is appropriate for jail.
Good access to several transportation
lines.
Site is large and vacant.

CON

NO LONGER AVAILABLE. Site 5A is being
developed by College of Insurance;
HPD has issued RFP for mixed resi-
dential/commercial on Site 5B; Site
6 is under construction by Irving
Trust Company.

7. King/Hudson/Greenwich/Houston Streets

PRO

Zoning (M1-6) is appropriate for jail.
Whole block for site with few improve-
ments.

CON

Privately owned by Church.
Very far from courts (15+ blocks)
One block away from PS 1 and very
vocal community to east.
Requires relocation of parking lot
business.
Transportation access limited.

8. Old Gouverneur Hospital at Water and Montgomery Streets

PRO
Was City-owned when considered.

CON
NO LONGER AVAILABLE. Sold to private developer who is rehabilitating structure with City approvals.
Surrounded on 3 sides by large residential developments, vocal community.
No access to most public transportation (only the M22 Madison Street bus).
Not close to courts (8+ blocks).

9. Parking Lots at Chambers and Greenwich Streets

PRO
Good transportation nearby.
Far from courts but arterial roads located nearby.

CON
Privately and separately owned.
In Lower Manhattan Mixed Use District (lofts).
Across street from Washington Market Interim Park for which there are future development plans.
Zoning (M1-5) is inadequate: need zoning change.
Site too small even at FAR 10; would require tall building with small footprint, little or no rooftop recreation area.

10. Lafayette Park (opposite 111 Centre St.) and bed of Leonard Street between Centre and Lafayette Streets

PRO
City-owned property.
Adjacent to the courts.

CON
Lot 1 is mapped public park, would take action of state legislature to alienate (demap).
Underground refrigeration plant for A/C for 125 Worth Street and Family Court located under park.
Site is small and cramped.
Adjacent 111 Centre Street (Civil Court) exceeds FAR 10; approval probably based on permanent open space adjacent to building.

11. Canal/Wooster/Grand Streets and West Broadway

PRO
Good access to transportation.
Large, mostly parking lots.
Near courts along arterial road.

CON
Privately owned; site must be acquired.
Zoning doesn't permit prison use on ground floor.
Located within Cast Iron Historical District.
Relocation of 17 businesses & 45 residential tenants.
Subsurface water may cause construction problems.
Some site buildings under consideration for landmark status.

Summary: Reasons for Rejection of Sites 2-11

Site 2 is still considered to be a desirable location but is presently considered to be unattainable, as it is owned by New York State and there are plans to relocate State agencies into the building over the next two years.

Site 3 was rejected by the interagency task force because of the opportunity cost. The city has high-yield plans for this site for development as a future municipal office facility.

Site 4 was rejected because of the constraints of the lot configuration, the available gross footage (inadequate), and because the Department of General Services had advised that the cost to the city for replacement leased space for city agencies currently occupying the building would exceed \$1.7M per year.

Site 5 was rejected as more distant than most other sites under consideration, because it is immediately adjacent to residential development and because the Borough President, OED and HPD are considering the site for commercial development.

Site 6 is no longer available.

Site 7 was rejected because it was most distant from the courts of all sites under consideration, involved condemnation of church-owned property, was within one block of a public school and was considered to likely involve a high degree of community opposition for the above reasons.

Site 8 is no longer available.

Site 9 was rejected as requiring condemnation of several small parcels which are separately owned, because it requires a zoning change and because the site is too small for any functional building of the size required.

Site 10 was rejected because of the difficulties involved in demapping a public park and because of the underground mechanical equipment which would further constrict an already small site. This location was also regarded as inappropriate from an urban design point of view, creating intolerable density in the area bounded by Worth/Centre/White/Lafayette Streets.

Site 11 was rejected because a zoning change would be required and because the site is within an historical district and includes several buildings which may be under active consideration for landmark status. Given other site alternatives, this site was relatively distant from the courts and the existing detention facility as well.

820607PSM

ATTACHMENT C.

PRESENT OWNER'S APPLICATION FOR A BUILDING PERMIT

THE CITY OF NEW YORK
HOUSING AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION
DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

68

- (1) A) **MANHATTAN** **BROOKLYN** **BRONX** **QUEENS** **RICHMOND**
 Municipal Bldg., New York, N. Y. 10007 Municipal Bldg., Brooklyn, N. Y. 11201 1932 Arthur Avenue, Bronx, N. Y. 10457 126-06 Queens Blvd., Kew Gardens, N. Y. 11415 Boro Hall, St. George, N. Y. 10301

NEW BUILDING APPLICATION

APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL OF PLANS

NO WORK MAY BE STARTED UNTIL A SEPARATE WORK PERMIT IS OBTAINED

(1) B) LOCATION Entire Block bounded by Walker St., Centre St., White St., & Baxter St.

(2) A) BLOCK 198 B) LOT 1, 10, 23, 24, 26

(3) ZONING: A) DISTRICT C6-4 B) MAP NO. 17C

(4) A) HEIGHT 474 B) NO. OF STORIES 52

(5) CLASSIFICATION OF BUILDING: J-2 & 2
 A) OCCUPANCY GROUP _____ B) CONSTRUCTION CLASS 1A
(Sec. C26-301.1) (Sec. C26-313.1)

(6) STORM DRAINAGE DISCHARGES INTO:
 A) STORM SEWER B) COMBINED SEWER C) PRIVATE SEWAGE DISPOSAL SYSTEM

(7) SANITARY DRAINAGE DISCHARGES INTO:
 A) SANITARY SEWER B) COMBINED SEWER C) PRIVATE SEWAGE DISPOSAL SYSTEM

(8) TYPE OF FOUNDATION Piles 9) BEARING MATERIAL _____
(Spread Figs., Piles, etc.) (Sec. C26-1103.4, 1.65; 2.65, etc.)

(10) A) NUMBER OF BUILDINGS TO BE DEMOLISHED 3 B) DESCRIBE USE Commercial
RESIDENTIAL, MFG., ETC.

(11) A) AREA OF BUILDING AT STREET LEVEL 24,318 SQ. FT. B) TOTAL FLOOR AREA 700,000 SQ. FT.

(12) A) TOTAL HEIGHT 474 ft. B) CUBIC CONTENTS 6,117,798 C) BLDG. SIZE 65' x 230'

(13) ESTIMATED COST (EXCLUSIVE OF LOT) \$ 35,000,000.00

(14) OCCUPANCY (IN DETAIL) Class 'A' Multiple Dwelling - H.A.E.

RECEIVED
 DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS
 APPLICATION NO. _____
 DATE. OCT 23 1931
 CITY OF NEW YORK
 DO NOT WRITE IN THIS SPACE
 BOROUGH OF MANHATTAN

STORY	LIVE LOAD LBS. PER SQ. FT.	MAXIMUM NO. OF PERSONS PERMITTED	ZONING RESOLUTION		BUILDING CODE		DESCRIPTION OF USE
			DWELLING OR ROOM- ING UNITS	USE GROUP	HABIT- ABLE ROOMS	OCCU- PANCY GROUP	
A.	B.	C.	D.	E.	F.	G.	H.
Attic	On Grade					B-2	Stores, Parking - Cars Compactor Rm., Boiler Rm., Electric Equipment Rm., Gas Meter Room
1st Floor	100					C	Retail Stores
2nd Floor	100					J-2	Lobby, Mail Room
3rd to 32nd Fl. each Floor	40		12	2		C	Retail Stores
3rd to 41st Fl. each Floor	40		8	2		J-2	Apartments
3rd to 52nd Fl. each Floor	40		4	2		J-2	Apartments
Basement	75 & Equip.						Elevator Machine Room

(15) OPEN SPACES (SQ. FT.)
 (A) PARKING _____
 (B) LOADING BERTHS _____
 (C) OTHER OPEN USES _____

(16) LIMITATIONS OR RESTRICTIONS:
 (A) BOARD OF STANDARDS AND APPEALS CAL. NO. _____
 (B) CITY PLANNING COMMISSION CAL. NO. _____
 (C) OTHERS: _____

(17) WORK AND EQUIPMENT TO BE INSTALLED:

APPLICATION NO. _____

- (A) FENCE: (B) TOOL SHED: (C) SIDE WALK SHED: (D) LENGTH IN FEET OF SIDE WALK SHED: _____
- (E) MATERIAL HOIST: (F) CURB CUT: (G) LENGTH IN FEET OF CURB CUT: _____
- (H) FUEL OIL: (I) TANKS: (J) PLUMBING: (K) AIR CONDITIONING SYSTEM: (L) VAULTS:
- (M) HEATING: (N) SYSTEM: Steam (O) FUEL: Oil
- (P) OXYGEN SYSTEM: (Q) NITROUS OXIDE SYSTEM: (R) ELEVATORS:
- (S) MISCELLANEOUS (DESCRIBE): _____

(18) ARE ANY OF THE FOLLOWING FIRE DETECTION AND EXTINGUISHING SYSTEMS REQUIRED?

		YES	NO			YES	NO
A	STANDPIPE SYSTEM (C26-1702.1)	X		G	AUTOMATIC SPRINKLER SYSTEM (C26-1703.1)	X	
B	YARD HYDRANT SYSTEM (C26-1702.2)		X	H	CENTRAL STATION SUPERVISION (C26-1703.2 & .4)		X
C	PRIVATE HYDRANT SYSTEM (C26-1702.17)		X	I	WATER FLOW ALARM (C26-1703.4)		X
D	STANDPIPE FIRE TELEPHONE AND SIGNAL SYSTEM (C26-1702.21)		X	J	SIAMESE (C26-1703.6)	X	
E	SMOKE DETECTOR (C26-1703.1(U))		X	K	TWO AUTOMATIC SOURCES (C26-1703.9 (a))	X	
F	FIRE ALARM AND SIGNAL SYSTEM (C26-1704.1)		X	L	ONE AUTOMATIC SOURCE (C26-1703.9 (b))		X
				M	DOMESTIC WATER SUPPLY SOURCE (C26-1703.9c)	X	

(19) ARE ANY OF THE FOLLOWING PERMITTED ALTERNATES TO A REQUIRED STANDPIPE SYSTEM TO BE INSTALLED?

		YES	NO
A	HAND OR PORTABLE FIRE EXTINGUISHERS SUBJECT TO FIRE DEPARTMENT APPROVAL (C26-1702.1 (d) (1))		X
B	AUTOMATIC SPRINKLER SYSTEM CONNECTED TO CENTRAL SUPERVISORY STATION (C26-1702.1 (d) (2))		X

(20) ARE ANY OF THE FOLLOWING PERMITTED ALTERNATES TO A REQUIRED AUTOMATIC SPRINKLER SYSTEM TO BE INSTALLED?

		YES	NO
A	PARTIAL SYSTEM (TABLE 17-2) CLARIFY EXTENT OF SYSTEM BELOW.		X
B	AUTOMATIC DRY SPRINKLER (TABLE 17-2)		X
C	NON-AUTOMATIC DRY SPRINKLER SYSTEM (TABLE 17-2 FOOTNOTE (c))		X
D	SMOKE DETECTION ALARM SYSTEM (C26-1703.2)		X

- E) EXTINGUISHING AGENT IF OTHER THAN WATER _____
- F) EXTENT OF PARTIAL SYSTEM IF ANY _____

(21) (A) PAYMENT OF FEE:

(B) EXEMPTION FROM PAYMENT OF FEE: IF SUCH EXEMPTION IS CLAIMED, STATE BASIS IN ACCORDANCE WITH SEC. C26-30.0

(C) INITIAL FEE PAYMENT:

(D) 2nd PAYMENT OF FEE TO BE COLLECTED BEFORE A PERMIT IS ISSUED — AMOUNT \$ _____

VERIFIED BY: _____ DATE: _____

(E) ADDITIONAL FEES REQUIRED: _____ AMOUNT \$ _____

VERIFIED BY: _____ DATE: _____

(22) (A) OWNER: NESPAR CORPORATION

(B) ADDRESS: 250 Park Ave., New York, N.Y. 10017

SCHUMAN, LICHTENSTEIN, CLAMAN & EFRON

227 E. 45th Street, New York, N.Y. 10017

(C) APPLICANT: _____

(D) ADDRESS: _____

(23) PUBLIC ASSISTANCE () _____ (INDICATE TYPE)

(24) PARTY WALLS: YES: NO:

EXAMINED AND RECOMMENDED FOR APPROVAL: _____ 19____ EXAMINER: _____

APPROVED: _____ 19____ COMMISSIONER: _____

FALSIFICATION OF ANY STATEMENT IS A MISDEMEANOR UNDER SECTION 643a10.0 OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE CODE AND IS PUNISHABLE BY A FINE OF NOT MORE THAN FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS OR IMPRISONMENT OF NOT MORE THAN SIX MONTHS OR BOTH.

BRIBERY IS A CRIME: A PERSON WHO GIVES OR OFFERS A BRIBE TO ANY EMPLOYEE OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, OR AN EMPLOYEE WHO TAKES OR SOLICITS A BRIBE IS GUILTY OF A FELONY PUNISHABLE BY IMPRISONMENT FOR UP TO SEVEN YEARS OR A FINE, OR BOTH. PENAL LAW SECTION 200.00 A. 200.10.